

]

970. I know it may be said in reference to the numerous changes with strong and dilute acids, that the results are the consequence of corresponding alterations in the contact force; but this is to change about the theory with the phenomena and with chemical force (862, 944,, 973; 994, 1002,, 1051); or it may be alleged that it is the contact force of the solutions produced at the metallic surfaces which, differing, causes difference of effect; but this is to put the effect before the cause in the order of *time*. If the liberty of shifting the point of efficacy from

metals to fluids, or from one place to another, be claimed, it is at all events quite time that some definite statement and data respecting the active points (796) should be given. At present it is difficult to lay hold of the contact theory by any argument derived from experiment, because of these uncertainties or variations, and it is in that respect in singular contrast with the definite expression as to the place of action which the chemical theory supplies.

971. All the variations which have been given are consistent with the extreme variety which chemical action under different circumstances possesses, but, as it still appears to me, are utterly incompatible with, what should be, the simplicity of mere contact action; further they admit of even greater variation, which renders the reasons for the one view and against the other still more conclusive.

972. Thus if a contact philosopher say that it is only the very strongest acids that can render the part of the metals in it negative, and therefore the effect does not happen with muriatic acid or potash (968, 969), though it does with nitric and sulphuric acids (965, 966); then the following result is an answer to such an assumption. Iron in *dilute nitric acid*, consisting of one volume of strong acid and twenty of water, is positive to Iron in strong acid, or in a mixture of one volume of strong acid

with one of water, or with three, or even
with five volumes of
water. Silver also, in the weakest of these
acids, is positive to
silver in any of the other four states of it.
973. Or if, modifying the statement upon
these results, it
should be said that diluting the acid at one
contact *always* tends
to give it a certain *proportionate*
electromotive force, and there-
fore diluting one side more than the other
will still allow this
force to come into play; then, how is it that
with muriatic acid
and potassa the effect of dilution is the
reverse of that which
has been quoted in the cases with nitric
acid and iron or silver
(965, 972)? Or if, to avoid *difficulty*, it be
assumed that each